

Date: Sun, 17 Oct 93 04:30:09 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #379
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 17 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 379

Today's Topics:

End-It All Now, Please... (3 msgs)
getting my license. do i want to
if you're going to fight about it
 Mods to Part 97?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 93 17:00:02 CDT
From: swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: End-It All Now, Please...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

End it all now, please? I assume you have issued a petition to the
FCC, requesting that they delete the Codeless Technician license.
That is what this whole debate has revolved around: the OFs who
have learned the Code, and earned their license, versus the other
amateurs who have chosen to enter the hobby by earning the Codeless,
entry-level ticket.

Okay guys, flame me what you will. Whip me, beat me, call me Wayne Green!

--Robert

Date: Sun, 17 Oct 1993 02:18:14 GMT

From: paperboy.ids.net!anomaly.sbs.com!kd1hz@uunet.uu.net
Subject: End-It All Now, Please...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

drenze@icaen.uiowa.edu (Douglas J Renze) writes:

>Yes. And no-code Japanese HF amateurs are also limited to...what? 10W out?

Actually, the US already has a codeless HF license.

Its called CB radio, which is in the 27mhz HF spectrum.

Of course, you're limited to voice, SSB and AM communications @5 watts, but hell, since these no-clue whiners don't want to learn code ANYWAY, what the hell are they complaining about? Just go to Radio Shack and ask to purchase your 40 channel No-Code HF Radio, available for under \$100!

MD

--
-- Michael P. Deignan, KD1HZ -
-- Internet: kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com - Providence Firefighters Association:
-- UUCP: ...!uunet!anomaly!kd1hz - We Find 'Em Hot, And Leave 'Em Wet
-- AT&TNet: 401-273-4669 -

Date: 17 Oct 1993 03:40:35 -0400
From: news.centerline.com!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!news.delphi.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
Subject: End-It All Now, Please...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

"...these no-clue whiners..."

Do you actually have a RATIONAL argument for requiring CW skills?

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 93 14:38:03
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!iat.holonet.net!alpine!sysop@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: getting my license. do i want to
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

> From: myers@sunspot.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers)
>
> In article <2530@indep1.UUCP> clifto@indep1.UUCP (Cliff Sharp)
> writes:
> >

> > One final word: a lot of old-timers like myself have watched the
> bands
> >for years, and observed that every time the tests got easier, the
> quality
> >of the bands went down almost immediately. Having seen the written
> tests
> >deteriorate to nothing, we held to code as the only _real_ thing
> one
> >needed to work at to get a license; since removing the code
> requirement
> >made the test easier yet, we've all been waiting for the other shoe
> to
> >drop and the bands to deteriorate even further.
>
>
> Hmmm... this old timer (original call WA6ZGB), licensed since
> 1977, currently

'Hardly' an "Old Timer"

> an Extra class licensee, has been around long enough to see the
> transition
> from FCC testing to the VE program, etc.
>
> I have not seen a drop in quality of amateur radio that can be
> directly
> correlated to the changes in testing.
>

I agree with Cliff.....

John - K9IJ, licensed since 1959 (step by step - every class)

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 93 14:53:13
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!iat.holonet.net!alpine!sysop@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: if you're going to fight about it
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

> Ever notice how obnoxious these old geezers on their electric geez-mobiles
> are making hamfests these days? One or two of 'em can block a whole
> row for hours as they geeze along at about half the speed of frozen
> molasses. I'm waiting for science to discover the rather obvious link
> between Morris use and senility. Almost makes one want to engage in
> geezer tipping.
>

> John De Armond, WD40QC

Well John, maybe before you get that old, someone will take pity on you and shoot you. Then we won't have to listen to your senseless blather and watch you make a bigger fool of yourself as you get older.

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 1993 23:03:24 GMT
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!moe.ksu.ksu.edu!hobbes.physics.uiowa.edu!news.uiowa.edu!
icaen.uiowa.edu!drenze@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Mods to Part 97?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Question: I know that amateurs such as me and thee can propose modifications to part 97, but I was wondering how one goes about doing this.

The modification I would like to see has to do with the license structure, to bring it in line with those of most other nations. That is, I would like to propose the following license structure:

NOVICE: Same as the current novice license privileges. 5 WPM code requirement.
COMMUNICATOR: same as the current no-code Tech license.

TECHNICIAN: Same as, or perhaps slightly greater privs than the current Tech/HF license. Upgrade path for both Novice and Communicator.

GENERAL: Same privs as the current Amateur Extra class, with the 13WPM requirement.

Obviously, this is only a rough sketch of my proposal. Ideas? Anybody out there in net-land interested in helping me hammer this out? Please--if you think I'm a bloody loon, tell me, but no flames.

73, Doug

--

-- /| | Douglas J Renze, N0YVW |
\ 'o.O' | +1 319 337 4664 |
=(___)= | drenze@icaen.uiowa.edu |
U | Douglas-Renze@uiowa.edu |

In God We Trust:
All Others Pay Cash

Date: 16 Oct 1993 13:43:44 -0400
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!
news.delphi.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <29ma60\$a6m@news.delphi.com>, <CEyqrx.DJB@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<1993Oct16.154841.28001@gsm001.mendelson.com>≥p
Subject : Re: End-It All Now, Please...

You're thinkin g of the ITU. The IARU is an association of non-governmental
bodies.

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 1993 22:57:46 GMT
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!moe.ksu.ksu.edu!hobbes.physics.uiowa.edu!news.uiowa.edu!
icaen.uiowa.edu!drenze@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <29ma60\$a6m@news.delphi.com>, <CEyqrx.DJB@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<1993Oct16.154841.28001@gsm001.mendelson.com>du
Subject : Re: End-It All Now, Please...

gsmlrn@gsm001.mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson) writes:

>No, we are not. We are bound by treaty to EITHER:

> 1. Require amateur radio operators know morse code (I don't remember
> the exact wording, but at what speed, or how, is not specified).

>OR

> 2. Inform the organization that allocates spectrum (IARU?) that we will
> no longer require morse code. A simple letter from the chairman of the
> FCC, or President Clinton, would suffice.

>Japan has chosen the second route.

Yes. And no-code Japanese HF amateurs are also limited to...what? 10W out?

Frankly, looking at how wide those bands are, I see no reason to change
the code requirement for HF in the US. You can't do high-speed digital
communications on them (the band requirements are wider than the entire
allocated spectrum in those bands), you can do SSB, but relatively few
people in a given area can use the band with SSB compared to the number
who can do CW on a given band. What's the problem? Don't want to learn
code? Then get the no-code license. It's a perfectly good license.
Don't take away my hf tho! :-)

--

-- /| | Douglas J Renze, N0YVW |

\'o.O' | +1 319 337 4664 |
=(___)= | drenze@icaen.uiowa.edu |
U | Douglas-Renze@uiowa.edu |

In God We Trust:
All Others Pay Cash

Date: Thu, 14 Oct 1993 22:11:18 EST
From: paperboy.ids.net!anomaly.sbs.com!kd1nr!system@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <9310121356.AA02539@ucsd.edu>,
<tech.750442027@aupair.cs.athabascau.ca>, <19930ct13.182603.5190@news.mentorg.com>
Subject : Re: Geezers and Hamfests - A Match Made in Heaven

Hank_Oredson@mentorg.com writes:

> |> >i didn't see anyone on a geez-mobile tieing up the traffic like a guy tha
> t
> |> >needs to carry a bottle of oxygen with him....
> |>
> |> >bill wb9ivr
> |>
> |> And aren't you guys a pair of assholes.
> |>
> |>
> |> --
> |> Richard Loken VE6BSV : "...underneath those
>
> |> Athabasca University, Athabasca, Alberta Canada : tuques we wear, our
> heads
> |> tech@cs.AthabascaU.CA : are naked!"
> |> {atha|aunro}!cs.athabascau.ca!tech : - Aurthor Black
>
> Maybe not; perhaps just young uneducated dolts.
> They will grow up some day.

Nah... maybe just a couple of people with a sense of humor. You should
try it some time.

Tony

o o Tony Pelliccio, KD1NR, Control Op 441.750+, ARRL VE
 \ / system @ hades.cdp.org Soon W5YI VE
 __/
 (oo) Cow humor. Sort of like the Far Side.
 /| \ /
 / | {MTV}|| MooTV - Rockin' Bumpin' and Funkin' into the 90's
* ||{__}||

||-----|| (And people thought my last .sig was long, ha!)
^^ ^^

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 1993 21:20:45 GMT
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!
news.eecs.nwu.edu!ahab.eecs.nwu.edu!hpa@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <rcrw90-111093083953@node_142cf.aieg.mot.com>, <2546@indep1.UUCP>,
<CEs0uA.KuE@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To : hpa@nwu.edu (H. Peter Anvin)
Subject : Re: Enough of the debate.

In article <CEs0uA.KuE@news.hawaii.edu> of rec.radio.amateur.policy,
jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>
> I wonder why the FCC didn't copyright its pool of questions (of course
> they'd have to write them in some unique format that COULD be copyrighted)
> then just bust Bash and others who attempted to publish the pool.
>

The U.S. government cannot own copyrights... of course, they could
classify them, but that would be a little bit strong...

/hpa

--
INTERNET: hpa@nwu.edu FINGER/TALK: hpa@ahab.eecs.nwu.edu
IBM MAIL: I036073 at IBMMAIL NeXTMAIL: hpa@speedy.acns.nwu.edu
ANMÉRKNING: Heja Sverige friskt humôr! EG vÑntar utanfîr!
rm -rf /msdos /windows /win-nt

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 1993 15:48:41 GMT
From: gsm001!gsm001.mendelson.com!gsmlrn@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <28lfr3INNpn3@maico.ksu.ksu.edu>, <29ma60\$a6m@news.delphi.com>,
<CEyqrx.DJB@news.Hawaii.Edu>gsm1
Subject : Re: End-It All Now, Please...

In article <CEyqrx.DJB@news.Hawaii.Edu>
jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>...., we're bound to the code requirement due to the treaty we signed;
>we usually don't break treaties unless we've got darn good reasons (we're
>the good guys, remember?).
>
>Jeff NH6IL (back in the days of the cold war: WA6QIJ)

No, we are not. We are bound by treaty to EITHER:

1. Require amateur radio operators know morse code (I don't remember the exact wording, but at what speed, or how, is not specified).

OR

2. Inform the organization that allocates spectrum (IARU?) that we will no longer require morse code. A simple letter from the chairman of the FCC, or President Clinton, would suffice.

Japan has chosen the second route.

Geoff.

--

Geoffrey S. Mendelson N30WJ
(215) 242-8712
gsm@mendelson.com or uunet!gsm001!gsm

Date: 17 Oct 1993 03:39:05 -0400
From: news.centerline.com!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!news.delphi.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CEyqrx.DJB@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<1993Oct16.154841.28001@gsm001.mendelson.com>,
<1993Oct16.225746.14317@news.uiowa.edu>
Subject : Re: End-It All Now, Please...

"Don't take away my hf tho!"

A no-code HF license would have NO effect on the present CW subbands at all. Even if we DID get a major influx of digital users in the subbands, the answer would be to split the subbands (the way the phone bands used to be split with regard to SSTV), not throw up a roadblock to new hams.

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #379
